

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/003,298	12/06/2001	Robert M. Adams SR.	0030-0012	0030-0012 5090	
26615 75	590 01/06/2006		EXAM	INER	
HARRITY SNYDER, LLP			HELLNER	HELLNER, MARK	
11350 Random Hills Road					
SUITE 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FAIRFAX, VA	A 22030		3663		

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/003,298	ADAMS ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark Hellner	3663			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Oc	<u>ctober 2005</u> .				
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 25-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 2/8/2082	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 10/003,298

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cabrera et al.

Figure 8 of Cabrera et al discloses an optical component tray comprising: a plurality of cavities (the spaces occupied by elements 870 and 871); an area (the space for element 867) for winding optical fiber; and at least one optical fiber guide groove (the channels connecting elements 861 and 862 to the winding tray 867) extending between said area and each of the plurality of cavities.

The structure above reads on claim 25.

Claim 26 reads on the structure defined by elements 850, 851 and 852.

Claim 27 is taught by element 867.

Column 5, line 12 teaches claim 28.

Claim 29 reads on the complex passages in the drawing of figure 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/003,298

Art Unit: 3663

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 30-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Cabrera et al.

The difference between the housing shown by figure 8 of Cabrera et al and the

subject matter of claims 30-36 is that the structure that defines the fiber grooves,

cavities, alternating openings, and partially hollow tubes shown in the drawing be made

from an elastomeric distendable material.

Official notice is taken that it was common knowledge at the time of the present

application to construct housings for optical components from moldable plastics.

The use of moldable plastics for the construction of the housing disclosed by

figure 8 of Cabrera et al would have produced the subject matter of claims 30-36.

Claims 1-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark Hellner at

telephone number 571 272 6981.

Mark Hellner

Primary Examiner

AU 366

Mark Idelher